

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | F                  | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,551               | 08/20/2003         |            | Lisa Schmitt         | P 1079.13001          | 6636             |
| 30615                    | 7590               | 11/22/2005 |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
| BIRDWEL                  |                    | -          | BUI, LUAN KIM        |                       |                  |
| 1100 SW SE<br>SUITE 1400 |                    | ENUE       |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
| PORTLAND                 | PORTLAND, OR 97204 |            |                      | 3728                  |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |             |
|---|--|--|-------------|
|   | 10/644,551   | SCHMITT, LISA  |             |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |             |
|   | Luan K. Bui  | 3728   |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ad   | dress       |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |
| Status  |  |  |             |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar</li> </ul>   | action is non-final.   | esecution as to the  | e merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 3 O.G. 213.  |             |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |             |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-15 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | n from consideration.  |  |             |
| Application Papers  |  |  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CF                       | , ,         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National                                      | Stage       |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | ite  | D-152)      |

Application/Control Number: 10/644,551

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 6, the phrase "that corresponds to the elevation at which ice ... when melted" is vague, confusion and indefinite because claim 1 is directed to a container only without contents. In claim 7, the phrase "said container body" lacks proper antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spreen (1,688,887) in view of Fraenkel (6,311,500) and Shepherd (2,863,305) or Hughes (5,520,278). Spreen discloses in the embodiment of Figure 4, a storage container (11) for forming ice blocks comprising a plurality of compartments formed by removable partitions (17) defining respective maximum filling elevations thereof (top edges of the compartments) in a stable orientation of the container. Spreen also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the compartments comprise at least one fill level with indicia disposed below the maximum filling elevation.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,551

Art Unit: 3728

Fraenkel teaches a storage container (10, 20, 100) for forming ice blocks comprising a plurality of compartments (12, 22) defining respective maximum filling elevations thereof (top edges of the compartments) in a stable orientation of the container. Fraenkel further discloses each compartment may not be filled to the top but rather about six percent of the compartment may be left empty to allow the water in the compartment to expand during freezing (column 2, lines 45-48) which is considered equivalent to the at least one fill level is at a level below the respective maximum filling elevation. Shepherd shows a transparent bag (11) having indicia (12) indicating the position of at least one fill level of water (14) into the bag. Hughes shows a measuring cup/container (10, 18) comprising a compartment having a top edge/maximum filling level and at least one indicia indicating the position of at least one fill level below the maximum filling level.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Fraenkel and Shepherd or Hughes to modify the container of Spreen so each compartment includes at least one fill level disposed below the maximum filling elevation as taught by Fraenkel to allow the water in the compartment to expand during freezing and the at least one fill level comprises indicia indicating the position of the at least one fill level as taught by Shepherd or Hughes to provide more convenient for the user when filling the compartments.

As to claims 3 and 4, Fraenkel discloses the container formed from a transparent plastic material and the compartments are integrally molded with the container.

As to claims 7 and 12, Spreen discloses the container comprises a container body has a top surface and a complementary bottom surface. The container of Spreen is capable of being stackable one on top another in a stable orientation.

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Andress et al. (5,356,026; hereinafter Andress'026). To the extent that Spreen further fails to show multiple instances of the container being stackable and each of the multiple instances of the container includes a lid being adapted to snap-fit onto the container. Andress'026 suggests a container assembly (10) comprising a container (12) and a lid (11) adapted to snap-fit (52, 55, 60, 61). Andress'026 further suggests the container includes a bottom surface (25-27) complementary to the lid (13, 14) so that multiple instances of the container with the lid fitted thereon are stackable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Andress'026 to modify the container of Spreen as modified so the container includes a lid adapted to snap-fit onto the container for better securing the contents within the container and the container are stackable to reduce space during storage.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

# Restriction Requirement

Applicant's arguments with respect to the restriction requirement in the response are noted. They are not persuasive because the container can be filled with other articles instead of food and without the step of storing the container in a freezer. The requirement was made FINAL in the Office Action mailed on 9/27/2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,551

Art Unit: 3728

# Section 112 Rejections

Applicant indicates "Claim 1 is silent about contents" which means claim 1 is directed to the container only. With respect to the phrase "the elevation at which ice reaching said maximum fill elevation would contract when melted" remains unclear because Applicant fails to indicate such phrase is positively recited or not.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (571) 273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

November 19, 2005

Luan K. Bui

**Primary Examiner** 

Page 5